1 2 3 4 5	ALISON BRASIER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10522 HICKS & BRASIER, PLLC 2630 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 628-9888 Fax: (702) 960-4118 E-Mail: ABrasier@lvattorneys.com Attorneys for Monarrez Defendants	
7 8		S DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA
9 10 11 12	TDC SPECIAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a District of Columbia Corporation.  Plaintiff v.	Case No.: 2:24-CV-00508-MDC  STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO STAY DISCOVERY
13 14 15 16 17 18	CLEMENTE MONARREZ, Individually, and as Special Administrator of the ESTATE OF MARTIN MONARREZ, deceased; LUCIA MONARREZ DE HERRERA, PREFERRED CARE WEST II, INC. D/B/A MISSION PINES NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER; FACILITY IMS, LLC, Does 1-20 and Roe Corporations 1-20, Inc.  Defendant(s)	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>		CE COMPANY, by and through its attorney,
23		s CLEMENTE MONARREZ, Individually, and DF MARTIN MONARREZ, deceased; LUCIA
24	-	"Monarrez Defendants"), by and through their
25		ipulate and request an Order staying discovery in
26		IALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S MOTION
27	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF No. 51)	

1	On September 11, 2024, Plaintiff filed its Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 32)
2	adding PREFERRED CARE WEST II, INC. D/B/A MISSION PINES NURSING AND
3	REHABILITATION CENTER and FACILITY IMS as defendants in this matter.
4	On September 25, 2024, the Monarrez Defendants filed their Answer to Plaintiff's
5	Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 37).
6	On November 12, 2024, Default was entered against Defendant PREFERRED CARE
7	WEST II, INC. D/B/A MISSION PINES NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER
8	(ECF No. 40).
9	On November 26, 2024, Suggestion of Bankruptcy and Notice of Automatic Stay was
10	filed on behalf of Defendant FACILITY IMS (ECF No. 41).
11	On March 4, 2025, Notice of Order Granting Motion for Relief from Bankruptcy Stay
12	(ECF No. 43) was filed, allowing the above-captioned declaratory relief action to proceed
13	against Defendant FACILITY IMS.
14	On March 6, 2025, this Court entered an Order lifting the stay of this declaratory relies
15	action (ECF No. 44)
16	On March 26, 2025, the Court granted the parties' Proposed Amended Discovery Plan
17	and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 47), establishing October 2, 2025 as the deadline for
18	disclosure of initial experts; November 1, 2025 as the deadline for rebuttal experts, and
19	December 1, 2025 as the close of discovery.
20	In light of the time that the bankruptcy stay was in place in this case, Defendant
21	FACILTY IMS had until April 12, 2025 to file an answer or responsive pleading to Plaintiff's
22	Second Amended Complaint.
23	On May 20, 2025, Default was entered against Defendant FACILTY IMS (ECF No
24	50).
25	On July 9, 2025, Plaintiff filed TDC SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S
26	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF No. 51).
27	On July 9, 2025, Plaintiff filed TDC SPECIALTY INSUARNCE COMPANY'S
28	  APPLICATION FOR ETNRY OF DEFAULT HIDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT

1	PREFERRED CARE WEST II, INC. D/B/A MISSION PINES NURSING AND		
2	REHABILITATION CENTER AND DEFENDANT FACILITY IMS, LLC (ECF No. 52).		
3	On July 30, 2025, the Monarrez Defendants filed OPPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS		
4	CLEMENTE MONARREZ, AND LUCIA MONARREZ DE HERRERA TO PLAINTIFF		
5	TDC SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
6	(ECF No. 53).		
7	On August 11, 2025, Plaintiff filed TDC SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S		
8	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF No. 54).		
9	The instant case is a declaratory relief action filed by Plaintiff for determination of		
10	whether it must provide insurance coverage for personal injury claims that the Monarrez		
11	Defendants have brought against Defendant PREFERRED CARE WEST II, INC. D/B/A		
12	MISSION PINES NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER and Defendant FACILITY		
13	IMS, LLC in a separate state court action.		
14	Both Defendant PREFERRED CARE WEST II, INC. D/B/A MISSION PINES		
15	NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER and Defendant FACILITY IMS, LLC hav		
16	filed for bankruptcy relief.		
17	Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 51), if granted, would be		
18	dispositive of the entire case.		
19	The parties agree that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment can be decided without		
20	additional discovery, unless otherwise determined by this Court.		
21	Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is fully briefed and pending decision by this		
22	Court.		
23	The parties agree that no prejudice will result by granting the stipulation to stay		
24	discovery at this juncture. In order to avoid the hardship and expense the parties would suffer		
25	were this case to continue with discovery pending decision on Plaintiff's Motion for Summar		
26	Judgment, the parties agree and stipulate to stay discovery this action pending the Court'		
27	decision on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 51).		

1 Staying the discovery in this matter will prevent unnecessary costs and resources from 2 being expended on discovery, including expert costs, that will be needed if the case were to 3 move forward while this Motion is pending. It will almost certainly result in efficiencies in 4 time, resources, and money for both the parties and the Court, pending the Court's decision. 5 This request for stay is not brought in bad faith or for the purposes of delay. 6 In the even that the Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 51) is denied, the parties 7 agree to meet and confer no later than 14 days after the Court enters its Order and that an 8 amended discovery plan will be submitted no later than 30 days after the Court enters its Order. 9 IT IS HEREBY STIUPLATE by and between the parties as follows: 10 THAT discovery shall be stayed pending decision on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary 11 Judgment (ECF No. 51). 12 THAT upon the Court's Order resolving Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment 13 (ECF No. 51), if the Motion is denied, the parties shall meet and confer no later than 14 days 14 after the Court enters its Order and that an amended discovery plan will be submitted no later 15 than 30 days after the Court enters its Order. 16 17 DATED this 21st day of August 2025. DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of August 2025. 18 HICKS & BRASIER, PLLC CLYDE & CO US LLP 19 20 /s/ Alison Brasier /s/ Justin Hepworth JUSTIN S. HEPWORTH, ESQ ALISON BRASIER, ESQ. 21 Nevada Bar No. 10522 Nevada Bar No. 10080 2630 S. Jones Blvd. 7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Ste. 430 22 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Las Vegas, NV 89128 Attorneys for Monarrez Defendants Attorneys for Plaintiff 23 24 25 26 27

**ORDER** Based on the stipulation of the Parties, the totality of the circumstances before the Court and with good cause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED. Hon. Maximilano D. Couvillier, III The Court finds the parties have shown good UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE cause to stay discovery Dated: August, 28, 2025